

The Interstellar Ramjet: Engineering Nightmare

A. A. Jackson, Ph D, AFAIAA, FBIS Triton Systems, LLC, Houston (TX), USA

Peter Schattschneider Ph D, TU Wien, A-1040 Vienna, Austria



"Its hard to be lite and travel near the speed of light"

The mass ratio problem





Eugen Sänger



Les Shepherd

Solving the mass ratio problem with the interstellar ramjet.



Robert Bussard 1928 - 2007

John Ford Fishback 1947 -1970

R.W. Bussard, "Galactic Matter and Interstellar Flight", Astronautica Acta, VI, (1960) 179-195,. J. F. Fishback, Relativistic interstellar spaceflight, Astronautica Acta 15 (1) (1969) 25-35

The Fishback solenoid (1969)

Just one assumption: adiabaticity $\frac{dB}{dt}T_c \ll B$ i.e. during one gyration period T_c the B field changes slowly. With the cyclotron frequency $\omega_c = eB/m_p = 2\pi/T_c$

$$\frac{dB}{ds}\frac{ds}{dt}\frac{2\pi m_p}{eB} \ll B = \epsilon B$$

 Solve this differential Eq. for r=0 in cylindrical coordinates (z,r): Br(z)=0 by symmetry,

$$B_z(z) = B_0 \frac{1}{1 + \delta z}$$

$$\begin{split} \delta &= \frac{\epsilon e B_0}{2\pi m_p v_{\parallel}} = \frac{\epsilon e B_0}{2\pi m_0 \beta \gamma c} \\ \nabla \vec{B} &= 0 \implies B_r = B_0 \frac{\delta r}{2(1+\delta z)^2} \end{split}$$

Field lines are bundles of parabolae



Consequences of adiabaticity



Field lines are bundles of parabolae

Protons gyrate over a field line to

Angular momentum = const.

 \geqslant

 \geq

reactor intake

- Well defined relation between scoop intake radius R_s, reactor intake radius R₀ and extension L_s of the field
- Field at reactor intake B₀ > B* in order to guarantee small gyration radius
- Confirmed by numerical simulation



Solenoid shape





Limits on β as a function the material properties of the scoop field source for a 1 g vehicle.

	-/- [106						
Material	δ/ρ [10° Nm/kg]	βγ _F	βγ _{ca}	Βγ _c	M _{cp} [ktons]	F _P [MN]	B ₀ [T]
Aluminum	0.06	8.6	0.38	0.42	1.32	12.95	1.64
Steel	0.26	36.2	0.66	0.86	1.34	13.14	3.38
Pat. steel	0.53	73.6	0.83	1.23	1.34	13.17	4.83
Kevlar	2.5	342	1.28	2.67	1.35	13.2	10.5
Silica	3.31	460	1.38	3.07	1.35	13.2	12.08
Copper	4.36	605	1.48	3.53	1.35	13.2	13.87
Diamond	15.2	2100	1.99	6.58	1.35	13.2	25.89
Graphene	56.8	7780	2.66	12.73	1.35	13.2	50.05

Table 1: Cut-off speeds for several support materials. $(\beta\gamma)_{ca}$ is for a coordinate acceleration of 1 g, and $(\beta\gamma)_c$ is for a proper acceleration of 1g. $(\beta\gamma)_F$ are results from Martin paper. B₀ is the maximum field at the reactor mouth, M_s the minimum mass of the coil support and F is the thrust at the cutoff speed. A scoop radius R_s of 2000 km and a reactor mouth R₀ of 10 m was assumed.

Schematic Constant Acceleration Ramjets



Example Interstellar Ramjets (see Appendix)

Initial Conditions

Proper Acceleration = 1 gravity

Payload = 1000 metric tons

Ship totally made of Graphene, density 2.2 gm/cc, tensile strength = 2.0×10^{12} dynes/cm2

Average Density Interstellar Medium = 1 H/cc High Density HII Interstellar Medium = 10^{6} H/cc

	рр	CNO	CNO	units
	Low Density	Low Density	High Density	
Characteristic scoop	1721	1721	1.72	km
input radius				
Characteristic scoop	1.5x10 ⁸	1.5x10 ⁸	148	km
length				
Scoop Support Mass	10	10	4	tons
Reactor length	$1.7 \times 10^{15*}$	53	53	km
Mass = Payload +				
scoop				
Reactor Mass	~2x10 ¹⁷	1x10 ⁷	1x10 ⁷	tons
Corrected Scoop		148	15,000	km
Support length				

*Reactor Length

*180 light years! Distance to HIP 116454 (K0 star with a planet K2-02)

HIP 116454 180 Light

Years

Conclusions

- The size and mass of the magnetic field scoop source is very large implying great difficulty with the engineering physics.
- For a 1g proper acceleration the attainable Lorentz factor is more strongly constrained than in the modeling by Fishback [4] and Martin[5]. Mission distances are thus constrained.
- Reactor design for the 'pure' Bussard Ramjet implies a totally unrealistic length for both low and high density regions of the galaxy.
- The Whitmire CNO reactor (augmented Ramjet [12]) reactor can be a reasonable size but the magnetic scoop is still and engineering problem. Even the antimatter augmented ramjet [13] is constrained.
- The Laser Powered Interstellar Ramjet has the same scoop scale problem.
- The material scoop and reactor are severe problems before radiation losses and structural strength in the reactor and the scoop.

Acknowledgements

P.S. acknowledges the support of the Austrian Science Fund under project P29687-N36 for parts of this work. The authors thank J. Benford and R. Heller for pertinent comments.

References

[1] R. W. Bussard, Galactic matter and interstellar fight, Astronautica Acta 6 (4) (1960).

[2] P. Anderson, Tau Zero, Doubleday, 1970.

[3] C. Sagan, Direct contact among galactic civilizations by relativistic interstellar spaceflight, Planetary and Space Science 11 (1963) 485{498.

[4] J. F. Fishback, Relativistic interstellar spaceflight, Astronautica Acta 15 (1) (1969) 25{35.

[5] A. R. Martin, Structural limitations on interstellar spaceflight, Astronautica Acta 16 (6) (1971) 353{357.

[6] A. R. Martin, Magnetic intake limitations on interstellar ramjets, Astronautica acta 18 (1) (1973) 1{10.

[7] T. G. Northrop, Adiabatic charged-particle motion, Reviews of Geophysics 1 (3) (1963) 283{304. doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/RG001i003p00283.

[8] C. Semay, B. Silvestre-Brac, The equation of motion of an interstellar Bussard ramjet, European Journal of Physics 26 (1) (2005) 75{83.

[9] B. Cassenti, Design concepts for the interstellar ramjet, Journal of the British Interplanetary Society 46 (1993) 151{160.

[10] W. Rindler, Relativity, 2nd Edition, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2006, p. 70.

[11] S. W. Kash, Minimum structural mass for a magnetic radiation shield,

AIAA Journal 1 (6) (1963) 1439{1441.

[12] D. P. Whitmire, Relativistic spaceflight and the catalytic nuclear ramjet, Acta Astronautica 2 (1975) 497{509.

[13] A. A. Jackson , Some Considerations on the Antimatter and Fusion Ram Augmented Interstellar Rocket, Journal of the British Interplanetary Society, v33, 117,1980.

[14] D. Whitmire, A. Jackson, Laser Powered Interstellar Ramjet, Journal of the British Interplanetary Society Vol. 30pp. 223-226. 1977

[15] P. Schattschneider, A. Jackson , The Fishback Ramjet Revisited, in press Acta Astronautica, 2021

Appendix

Fisback derived an expression for the limiting Lorentz factor γ for a Bussard ramjet , Fishback (1969)

Fishback's limit was refined by Schattschneider and Jackson (2021)

$$(\gamma\beta)_{S} = \frac{\mu_{0}encf(\beta)}{2\pi aB_{0}} \frac{\sigma_{max}}{\rho} \frac{1}{ln\left(\frac{B_{0}}{B_{s}}\right)}$$
$$f(\beta) = \beta(\sqrt{\beta^{2} + 2\alpha(1 - \beta^{2})} - \beta)$$
$$\beta = \text{velocity/c}$$
$$\gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \beta^{2}}}$$

e = charge on an electron

 $m_p = mass of proton$

n = number density

a = proper acceleration

B₀=average galactic magnetic field

 \propto = fusion energy yield

 σ_{max} = support maximum tensile strength

 ρ = density of support

 μ_0 = vacuum permeability