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Collaboration for Success in Human Spaceflight

MICHAEL FROSTAD, CHAIR

A business buzzword with real meaning, collaboration is important whether you are farming in the Midwest, developing new software in Silicon Valley, or working in aerospace at the Johnson Space Center. Since the last Chair’s Corner, collaboration has been on full display in human spaceflight.

A collaboration between Orbital and NASA with the Cygnus vehicle that launched September 18th saw to it that the vehicle safely docked to the International Space Station (ISS). After testing out many functionalities for the first time in space, Cygnus attempted its first approach to ISS. The spacecraft made it to within about 15 kilometers, but encountered an issue with GPS readings between it and ISS. Cygnus safely backed off and the Orbital & NASA team went to work to fix the problem. After analysis and investigative work, a GPS timing issue was found and overcome. This led to a second approach to ISS, which successfully completed further test objectives before capture by the ISS Space Station Remote Manipulator System (SSRMS) and berthing to the ISS.

Further collaboration between NASA and other aerospace businesses culminated in two major project milestones. The first was with Sierra Nevada Corporation's Dream Chaser vehicle at Dryden Flight Research Center. They completed the first free flight test of Dream Chaser on October 26th. During the flight test glide portion, performance was nominal and the craft approached the runway right on target. However, as the craft lowered its landing gear, the left main gear did not deploy. This resulted in a rough landing. Damage was sustained to the vehicle. However, Sierra Nevada says that it is repairable. The landing gear on the vehicle tested is not the final design to be used on the vehicle. Flight data before the landing will be used to refine the vehicle. This collaboration allowed good flight data to be obtained and it found issues prior to a real flight, exactly the results one desires from a test.

The second collaboration was at Kennedy Space Center on October 28th, with Lockheed Martin and the Orion vehicle's avionics. The avionics systems were installed on the Orion Exploration Flight Test 1 (EFT-1) vehicle and powered on for the first time. The systems worked as expected, completing another step towards the actual flight test mission, targeted for the fall of 2014. The upcoming test will stress avionics, sensors, reaction control jets, and the thermal protection system at higher velocities than any other vehicle designed to carry humans since Apollo. This collaboration continues to build capabilities and the data from the flight test will be very valuable.

It is not just collaboration between NASA and companies but also between NASA and other national space programs that continues to support human spaceflight activities, specifically the ISS. ESA's Automated Transfer Vehicle (ATV-4), named Albert Einstein, launched and docked with ISS in June 2013. Over the course of its mission it gave two delta-V boosts to the station (1.0 m/s and 1.45 m/s), provided supplies to those on board ISS, provided propellant to the Russian segment of the ISS, and when the Albert Einstein left ISS it took out the trash. This was a good mission to sustain the ISS and the people carrying out the science aboard it – accomplished through collaboration.

Further fruits of collaboration will soon include the launch of ISS Expedition 38 aboard a Soyuz launched from Baikonur Cosmodrome in Kazakhstan, continuing a partnership with Roscosmos. This launch will be a special one as it will be the first time there have been nine humans in orbit since 2009 without a Space Shuttle docked to the ISS. In addition to rotating the crew of the ISS and maintaining six people aboard the space station, the crew of this Soyuz will have one American astronaut, one Russian Cosmonaut, and one Japanese astronaut – stressing the point of international collaboration and cooperation to push humanity farther than it has ever gone before. The ISS is a laboratory for learning how the body functions in space and how to work in space, and it is more than that. It is a beacon pointing to the future for all of humanity. It is a wonder built by dedication and collaboration.

This list of successful collaborations does not go into detail about the hard work and dedication that comes with any collaborative effort, but it shows the results of collaboration. It shows that if we harness each other’s strengths, push through issues, and overcome obstacles that lie in our path, then together we can accomplish amazing feats. The challenge that is left to us is to continue collaborations, to grow them, and to improve them. The next time you hear the word collaboration, take a moment to reflect on its meaning, its past successes, and how might you harness it for a future success. A word that gets is done is collaboration.
The Experimental Aviation Association (EAA) Chapter 12 (Houston)

Douglas Yazell, Editor

From the Editor

We omitted the EAA Chapter 12 page in this issue. Since we have some snapshots (from Saturday, October 26, 2013) of EAA airplanes from the Wings Over Houston airshow, we include some of those pictures here. For our usual EAA one-page article with meeting information for EAA chapters, please see our previous issue of Horizons and our next issue.

E-mail: editor2012[at]aiaahouston.org

www.aiaahouston.org

An archive for Horizons on a national AIAA website is here.

Submissions deadline: November 20, 2013, for the November/December 2013 issue (online by December 10, 2013).

We are late, but we aim to get back on our usual bimonthly schedule by early 2014.

Advertising

Please contact us about rates.

Shen Ge presents the solution to the chess puzzle on page 37 of our previous issue of Horizons:

1. Bb1 b2
2. Ra2 b3
3. Ra3 b4
4. Ra4 b5
5. Ra5 b6
6. Be4 mate

Notation: On each line, white moves first. An upper case letter is a piece (B for bishop and R for rook). A lower case letter followed by a number shows the destination. The upper case letter is omitted for pawns.

Image credits: Douglas Yazell.

Above: Left to right are Section members Ellen Gillespie, Michael Frostad, and Clay Stangle. Our Section’s new Extra-Vehicular Activity (EVA) technical committee chair Evelyn Miralles was there, too. See our Section’s organization chart on a later page. They are standing under our Section’s new shade-providing canopy. Next to that is the EAA canopy with their flag.
Second Public Symposium of the 100 Year Starship Sponsored by DARPA and NASA

WES KELLY, TRITON SYSTEMS, LLC, AND SHEN GE

For some of us, starships did not begin with Star Trek. A decade before, for example, Robert Heinlein’s 1956 science fiction novel for youngsters, *Time for the Stars*, transposed to space a going-to-sea story lacking a “call me Ishmael” first line, but its style struck a Sinclair Lewis - Robert Lewis Stevenson mix. Originally the mission alternate for his brother, narrator Tom Bartlett becomes the space-faring communications link of an identical twin set; he provides telepathic communications to Earth-based brother Patrick. Pages into chapter one, before signing on to the interstellar torch ship Lewis and Clark, Tom relates how, when doing a school term paper, “...We got interested in the purposes of the Long Range Foundation. Its coat of arms reads, ‘Bread Cast Upon the Waters’ and its charter reads, ‘Dedicated to the Welfare of Our Descendants.’ ...It wasn’t enough for a project to be interesting to science or socially desirable; it also had to be so horribly expensive that no government and no other corporation would touch it.”

Decades after first reading of torch ships headed out to the stars with twins page by page illustrating time dilation and Special Relativity, one can still wonder how much substance there was to the engineer-author’s vehicular invention. Was it a dream he quickly transcribed to paper to sew into adolescent heads so they could be plagued by it as well and become engineers or scientists to follow it? What a STEM story success! Was the scenario the former naval officer described within the author’s vehicular invention. Was it a project to be interesting to science or socially desirable; it also had to be so horribly expensive that no government and no other corporation would touch it.”

We probably know more about extra solar planets now than Heinlein knew about even Pluto back then; and we do have societal institutions actually examining the prospect of the flight. Plural should be stressed since there actually were other interstellar flight conferences this year, sponsored by the California Space Institute in San Diego (“Starship Century” in May) and the Starship ICARUS group of the British Interplanetary Society in Dallas back in August. In fact, this overseas cousin of the AIAA has for decades devoted its technical journal at least annually to “Interstellar Studies.” Tau Zero Foundation and Centauri Dreams (the online link) also add to this effort.

Since the first DARPA sponsored Starship conference in Orlando in 2011, the downtown Houston Hyatt Regency hotel has hosted two more of the 100 Year Starship public symposia. Former astronaut Dr. Mae Jemison, the director of the non-profit 100 Year Starship (100YSS) foundation chaired ceremonies for this 19-22 September event which left the Earth behind in many ways, if not physically.

While all three conferences cited provide papers on transport solutions to star flight (in 100YSS program parlance, “Factors in Time and Distance Solutions”), the social science (“Becoming an Interstellar Civilization: Governing, Culture and Ethics”), biological aspects (“Life in Vivo and Vitro”) and educational outreach possibilities of the starship mission were given more weight at the Houston event. As its subtitle suggests, “Pathways to the Stars, Footprints on Earth,” stellar reach should make impressions where our feet are placed.

Yet since Horizons reports primarily “aeronautics and astronautics” and conference breadth is so wide and speculative, even metaphysical, a full relief map is difficult to provide, especially when it comes to the personal background of the many innovative thinkers in attendance. But prolonged interstellar flight no doubt demands design integration to include beside physical sciences and engineering, social and life sciences. If passenger frame of mind is crucial on a delayed or turbulent airline hub feeder hop, what are we to make of a century’s flight duration? Thinkers with Ph. D.s or experience in diverse fields, some known widely and some known only to colleagues, applied themselves to this whole panorama. They were both imaginative - and stretched and open to more critical comment or reflection than we have space to provide here. Presenters at Houston have been featured at several events, sure enough, owing now to a world-wide, yet close-knit community concerned with interstellar transport. They arrived from all over the country and overseas, but Houston provided a significant segment of interstellar researchers and philosophers as well at 100YSS 2013.

Welcome

“No one shows a child the sky,” Dr. Jemison observed, referring in opening to an old African proverb displayed prominently in the main auditorium. And, “Not everyone wants to go into space; but no one says we don’t want to know what’s out there. They don’t say, just keep it to yourself. ...Pursuing an extraordinary world tomorrow creates a better world today.”

Coming to the 100YSS mission statement, Jemison said the foundation wants to foster innovation. Its purpose was not to build the starship itself but to pursue revolutionary knowledge and technology applicable to both Earth and space. To keep 100YSS going, Dr. Jemison used a favorite word: “We have to be audacious.” Consequently the term showed up frequently in subsequent sessions, keeping faith with the organizer’s goal.

Loretta Whitesides, co-founder with George Whitesides of the annual April “Yuri’s Night” since 2001, followed Jemison as keynote speaker. While George currently heads Virgin Galactic, the space tourism concern, biologist Loretta. Whitesides’ explorations include five dives to the Titanic with James Cam-

(Continued on page 6)
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eron’s crew and over 75 parabolic micro-g flights. She had also worked as a life science contractor to NASA and the JPL. Ms. Whiteside and Dr. Jemison first became acquainted when the Stanford astronaut-alumna gave a commencement address at her alma mater and Loretta’s graduation, an interesting example of how many 100YSS working relationships originated. Amid other hopes, Ms. Whitesides wished that 100YSS increased the influence of the Overview Effect experienced by many astronauts as they looked back on their home world Earth. In remarks following, Dr. Jemison confessed that her OE experience was sensed outward, an increased wonder at and curiosity about deeper space and the stars.

“Factors in Time and Distance Solutions” – Propulsion and Flight

The British Interplanetary Society pioneered lunar mission planning studies just as it has with interstellar flights. It shifted to the stars after the 1969 Apollo lunar landing. But regarding “time and distance factors,” we note that for every nautical mile between Earth and Moon (~206,815) there are as many astronomical units (AU) to the parsec parallax distance (180/π * 3600 = 206,265). This is 3.26 light years or ~1 light year (63,115 AU) short of Alpha Centauri. An AU ~150 million kilometers or 80 million nautical (93 million statute) miles, making the nearest star ~100 million times further from Earth than the Moon and the Apollo landing site.

Dr. Eric Davis, an Austin-based astrophysicist, served as session moderator throughout. He and fellow speaker Marc Millis co-authored the AIAA survey text Frontiers of Propulsion Science. Millis would speak Saturday on “From Sci-fi to Scientific Method - A Case Study with Space Drives.” Should there be a second Propulsion Science edition, one could imagine the authors culling through proceedings such as what follows.

Judging from 2013 and 2012 100YSS, Time for the Stars represents a band in the spectrum of interstellar study or speculation and the torch ship serves as a transport straw man. If a torch ship could draw sufficient energy from stores of water or liquid ammonia to accelerate off to Tau Ceti or Beta Hydreae like a long lived rocket, then was it a nuclear fusion powered rocket? Walking in on a presentation by well known Michigan-based nuclear fusion expert Terry Kammash, “Propulsion For Rapid Interstellar Travel” (would we select any other?), the answer was not readily apparent – save for what would happen when power densities were increased by orders of magnitude from the 10 watts/kilogram electric propulsion systems readily achieve today. Realms ~550 astronomical units (AU) from the sun, he concluded, “would become accessible in a matter of decades with nuclear fission or fusion.” Hundreds of a parsec? More about this distance milestone below.

Then perhaps to attain torch ship performance we need breakthrough propulsion efficiency attained from annihilation of matter and anti-matter? The next speaker, Pauli Laine from a Finland university answers his talk title question, “To the Stars with Current Technology?” with a review of two interstellar propulsion systems: 1), a combination of nuclear thermal rocket and nuclear electric propulsion assisted by a flyby of a giant planet; 2), a fission fragment rocket in combination with a solar sail, selecting the second as adequate “in principle” if “some suspended animation is employed.” In which case neither is a torch ship solution. Amid the presentation the audience could hear a pleasant aria piercing through the screen wall separating conference rooms. More below about that as well.

NASA Johnson Space Center engineer and General Relativity researcher Dr. Sonny White presented more details of continuing work at the JSC Eagle Laboratory (“Warp Field Physics: an Update”), reported on previously in Horizons. This type approach side steps rocket derived technologies with space warp effects. Connections to earlier work by Miguel Alcubierre were reviewed and how economies of scale were achieved by shifting the field. Allowing that very exotic (negative) matter can be obtained, orders of magnitude less were now required, assuming negative matter “diminished” in absolute terms. Still, the layout of a warp-drive interstellar spacecraft was justified by field geometries and intensities plus mechanisms, inviting comparisons with Starship Enterprise layout. There were differences.

Dr. White’s presentation, about double conference length, actually examined two types of drive systems: Alcubierre derived and the laboratory’s quantum vacuum or Q-thruster. This is a device that has less in common with the Alcubierre theory than other experimental devices testing other physical hypotheses. Similar performance arguments could be made for a device known as a Mach thruster, often characterized as the Woodward Effect named for U of California - physicist James Woodward. While Woodward explains similar small observed forces with a GR derivation and the arguments of Ernst Mach on the origins of inertia, others, including Dr. White, seek to tap Zero Point Energy suggested by the Casimir Effect. In either case, if it can be scaled beyond trace effects in the laboratory, significance to star flight would be great. This type drive does not require exotic matter or even expelled mass (!), but sophisticated circuitry and capacitors to exploit a power supply. If the power gain is as projected, then trajectories for near term missions might include fast Mars missions. Dr. White supplied recent sample trajectories (Figure 1 and Table 1) for quick missions to Mars unimpeded by loads hundreds of tons of propellant. At last we see comparable performance to the torch ships of Time for the Stars.

After a lunch break, presentations took a different tack, starting with Marshall (Continued on page 7)
Barnes’, “Challenges Outside of Proposed Models Based on General Relativity.” Suffice to say that Mr. Barnes regretted a reluctance to pursue warp drive solutions outside the bounds of General Relativity theory; he was convinced that was the trail of the Holy Grail; though why Barnes prefers Kozyrev’s explanation of gravity’s effect on time without experimental proofs eludes us. Alvin Cantrell from the Marshall Spaceflight Center followed. He reported on gravitational sensors similar to the Cavendish device that established a measure of the gravitational constant. Could these devices be used for long range communication when gains sensed variations in their attraction?

If the last two papers sounded somewhat general in their reach for the stars, the next three were more particular.

Marshall Eubanks suggested asteroid resource search include search for exotic starship fuel sources in “Powering Starships with Compact Condensed Quark Matter.” Should dark matter be composed of condensed superconducting quark material, admittedly a speculative matter, perhaps very ancient, rapidly rotating asteroids were locations to seek such deposits as sources of energy for the 100YSS or the proverbial torch ship. Examining the asteroid data set, the language and presentation was reminds us of papers presented to the Lunar and Planetary Science conference last March covered by Horizons earlier. When asked, the author reported he sometimes attended or contributed on asteroid studies.

Less speculative, but still a controversy, Jeff Lee’s paper, “Temperature Inflation Considerations for Ultra-relativistic Subluminal Starships” adds another caveat against pressing too close to the speed of light. We were generally aware of the problems of collision with even dust motes at relativistic velocities in near interstellar vacuum. Cosmic background radiation is also blue shifted and more intense. Lee, a Canadian member of the ICARUS interstellar study group, provided estimates for leading edge temperatures. As the author himself noted, like many engineering controversies, this one boils down to which coordinate transformations are valid or should be invoked when intensities are calculated. General Relativity appears to be a mine field full of such, judging from GR correspondence groups’ deliberations we have monitored. Just as with spacecraft re-entry, where surface or internal structures are at risk, Lee recommended speed limits at small fractions short of light velocity \( c \) before titanium structures would melt. Surface temperatures rise acutely in the region \( 0.9c < V < 0.9999c \) - even during coast. And we had thought interstellar transit would be extremely cold!

Michael Lammertin’s paper on “Quantum Communications for Interstellar Travel,” closed the Friday time and distance sessions. Examining “quantum entanglement” and teleportation, Lammertin provided a state of the art survey. Like the audience, Lammertin was intrigued by circumventing luminal velocities and signal attenuation, but viewed the prospects soberly.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Two Q Thruster Scenarios for 90-ton Spacecraft and 2 Megawatts Power</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>A</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newtons / Kilowatt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Thrust (Newtons)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total mission (days)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outbound (days)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stay time (days)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Return leg (days)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newtons / Kilowatt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Thrust (Newtons)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total mission (days)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outbound (days)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stay time (days)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Return leg (days)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Two Q-thruster scenarios for a 90-ton spacecraft and 2 megawatts of power.

Einstein’s 1916 GR Theory was first experimentally tested by the degree of light’s bending by the sun for a near stellar occultation in May of 1919. The angle observed was about twice the classical prediction. More examples of bent light from deep space objects passing large gravitational fields have been observed since, but only recently has much consideration been given to the idea of exploiting gravitational lenses to create signal collecting or transmitting devices, e.g., dish antennas for signal reception or broadcast.

For one of us reporting (WK), one of the most remarkable featured devices of the 2013 100YSS was the solar gravitational lens observatory, receiver or transmitter. The idea’s history stretches back several decades, but Italian astrophysicist Claudio Maccone probably dedicated more time (Continued on page 8)
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and study than anyone else to the potentials of a focal lengths extending at least 551 astronomical units from the sun (see Figure 2) – or similar measurements from other stars. There is still much to be determined about light gathering, resolution and focal length as a function of wavelength, which would all affect spacecraft design for observation or transmitter purposes. If a spacecraft were to be sent to a star such as Alpha Centauri, then a tracking station and transmitter could very well be sent to the opposite celestial coordinates (e.g., right ascension declination) with respect to the sun to provide mission support. In the past detecting signals vs. natural processes from amid the stars has been directed SETI; in the future, if artificial intelligence. Orkuzal predicts, “around 2025, a laptop computer will have enough processing speed to simulate a human brain in real-time.” With this expectation his paper outlined a mission design for a robotic expedition to Tau Ceti around 2040 - the Lewis and Clark torch ship first stop in Heinlein’s Time for the Stars.

A Friday Night Science Fiction Evening

Robert Heinlein’s or Poul Anderson’s stories were typical American s/f literature’s efforts to offer plausible solutions to star flight generations ago. The social sciences tracks of 100YSS below probably owe more to the British heritage illustrated by Brian Aldiss, Arthur Clarke and Olaf Stapleton; the first, examining life on a millennia-long round trip to Tau Ceti; the last, contemplating sentient life’s movement across planets and stars on a time scale similar to the stars’ ages themselves. The Journal of the British Interplanetary Society (BIPS) Interstellar Studies series continues to explore that domain on a quantitative or theoretical basis unparalleled in this country.

But where in the spectrum has current science fiction evolved? We gained a glimpse Friday night (September 20th) via a panel discussion of current writers. The sampling included Mary Doria Russell, Jack McDevitt, Ken Scholes, and Karin Lowachee. Also participating were TV journalist Linda Lorelle, actor LeVar Burton (veteran of Star Trek – the Next Generation, but also of the PBS Reading Rainbow program) and Jason Batt. In the lively debate which followed, Dr. Russell fired the opening broadside, a 12-step self-help program self-introduction, “Hello, my name is Maria and I am a recovering academic…”

Dr. Russell, whose abandoned (?) field and career was “biological anthropology,” said, after learning (perhaps from Howard Zinn’s People’s History) that Columbus was “not necessarily a hero,” she decided to re-examine the terrestrial age of exploration in The Sparrow, her prize winning 1996 first novel of a voyage to Alpha Centauri in starship Giordano Bruno. As a given, with extraterrestrial contact Jesuit

that Voyager 1 had finally exited the heliosphere boundary shock region of the solar wind’s projection into interstellar space. Of course, this was a fluid medium of a very diffuse but charged nature. The extent and dynamic stability of this boundary has been a subject of continued debate. Jeffrey Nosanov in “Solar System Escape Architecture for Revolutionary Science: A NIAC Study” spoke of this development and pressed for wider exploration of this region with 150-kg spacecraft attached to 250 x 250 meter solar sails, transit time about 15 years. To place this endeavor in perspective, Voyager 1 (reported in another session) is a 722-kg space probe launched on 5 September 1977; circa 15 October 2013 its distance from Earth stood at 126 AUs, the farthest of any known man-made object. It was accelerated into interstellar space by successive Grand Tour planetary flybys coasting away at ~17 km/sec.

Remaining “time and distance” presentations concentrate on operational issues: Jeremy Straub from University of North Dakota examined forerunner robotic exploration prior to human missions in “An Exploration Strategy for an Interstellar Human Precursor Craft.” The strategy boils down to autonomy in the face of lag times over light year distances. Similarly, Timothy Meehan from Saber Astronautics offered a company developed risk management and fault identification software tool to address interstellar spacecraft operations in “Reducing Operational Risk for Deep Space Missions through Predictive Modeling.” Emary Ozkural of Bilkent University in Turkey surveyed the state of artificial intelligence. Orkuzal predicts, “around 2025, a laptop computer will have enough processing speed to simulate a human brain in real-time.” With this expectation his paper outlined a mission design for a robotic expedition to Tau Ceti around 2040 - the Lewis and Clark torch ship first stop in Heinlein’s Time for the Stars.

A Friday Night Science Fiction Evening

Robert Heinlein’s or Poul Anderson’s stories were typical American s/f literature’s efforts to offer plausible solutions to star flight generations ago. The social sciences tracks of 100YSS below probably owe more to the British heritage illustrated by Brian Aldiss, Arthur Clarke and Olaf Stapleton; the first, examining life on a millennia-long round trip to Tau Ceti; the last, contemplating sentient life’s movement across planets and stars on a time scale similar to the stars’ ages themselves. The Journal of the British Interplanetary Society (BIPS) Interstellar Studies series continues to explore that domain on a quantitative or theoretical basis unparalleled in this country.

But where in the spectrum has current science fiction evolved? We gained a glimpse Friday night (September 20th) via a panel discussion of current writers. The sampling included Mary Doria Russell, Jack McDevitt, Ken Scholes, and Karin Lowachee. Also participating were TV journalist Linda Lorelle, actor LeVar Burton (veteran of Star Trek – the Next Generation, but also of the PBS Reading Rainbow program) and Jason Batt. In the lively debate which followed, Dr. Russell fired the opening broadside, a 12-step self-help program self-introduction, “Hello, my name is Maria and I am a recovering academic…”

Dr. Russell, whose abandoned (?) field and career was “biological anthropology,” said, after learning (perhaps from Howard Zinn’s People’s History) that Columbus was “not necessarily a hero,” she decided to re-examine the terrestrial age of exploration in The Sparrow, her prize winning 1996 first novel of a voyage to Alpha Centauri in starship Giordano Bruno. As a given, with extraterrestrial contact Jesuit
missionaries take the exploration initiative as they often did four centuries or so earlier. Unlike Zinn, her point of view is religious vs. Marxist; not an accusation against social systems, but the deeper question (like Job’s?) of why do things go so awry. Another panelist, Ken Scholes, having attended a divinity school himself, shared Russell’s focus: dealing with human zeal to spread civilization and faith, on this world today or other planets later. Both examine traditional beliefs on the larger cosmic canvas or else the imagined canvas a cosmic frontier inspires; they explore earlier adventures and replay them in hope of a different result, challenging explanations for suffering, inspired by grief, the neglected dimension of s/f as literature. Admittedly, for Russell starship Giordano Bruno was merely the bus, not where her book’s truths would abide. Other panel writers addressed these concerns more obliquely— or else stressed continuity in the human condition. In Jack Devitt’s words, Roman centurions looked forward to the latest model chariots, hence…

We hope that the conference will video stream this discussion someday.

Viewing of a 2013 science fiction film titled to the icy Jovian moon Europa followed (The Europa Report). Clearly, a kinship existed to the 2001: A Space Odyssey from 1968, but in discussion that followed bio science session chair Dr. Obalisi submitted that despite the film’s eye for detail, it was “The Blair Witch Hunt” in space. Like the V-2 of 1950s movies standing in for presumed larger vehicles, an Atlas V - like vehicle launched a crew of five or six astronauts to Europa to check for life under the ice. They found it, but to their own ruin turned every corner where the audience foresaw disaster. Too bad director and cast were not present as well to explain the motif of the deadpan end. However, discovering that the car was locked in a public garage several blocks away and it was raining, the night’s philosophical ruminations came to an end.

Education on Earth and in Flight

Dan Hansen chaired the Friday sessions on Education which commenced with “Astrosociology in the Classroom,” presented by Kathleen Toerpe from the AstroSociology Research Institute offering an introductory college course: a multidisciplinary and evidentiary approach to instruction covering the astrosocial dimension of space exploration.

Subsequently, two more presentations had similar messages about STEM education. Beside, adding “Art” to science, technology, engineering and mathematics teaching objectives, a case was also made for reaching out to young women students.

The next speaker connected to the parallel sessions on the same floor via the solo performance mentioned above (Debussy: Nuit d’etoiles sous tes voiles Sous ta brise et tes parfums; Triste lyre qui soupire Je rev...). Opera singer and educator Adrienne Provenzano in “Getting All Hands on Deck: Using STEAM Education Strategies to Include Girls and Women in Interstellar Space Exploration,” cited STEM interest surveys showing 75% interest primary school girls, but descent to 20% STEM enrollments at college levels. Examples of The example of artistic pursuits of women astronauts, e.g., flute players Ellen Ochoa and Cady Coleman on the ISS argued for an integrated curriculum approach. “STeAM Power: The Ability to Create Beautiful Minds,” by Seattle-based educators Matthew O’Laughlin and Whitney Martin seconded this view, “to show how art is the hook on which difficult concepts hang with ease. Those who learn to use science and art together are the ideal citizens of the future.” For Paul Webber with “100YSS Education in Space: Are we ready?” robotics was the education gap, based on the implications of starship autonomy with or without crew.

Liverpool University (UK) researcher Matthew Bullock’s “Interstellar Exploration and Colonisation of Exoplanets, Position and Projections” could very well have appeared in the BIPS journal with its particular brand of graphic formulation and Kardeshev civilization levels. The broad, galactic view of Olaf Stapleton was apparent. This meant more than simply putting a human footprint on a planetary surface.

As noted above, beside out of town and overseas contributors, 100YSS included local presenters. In the opening address, we were startled to hear Dr. Jemison call out, “…And we also have Frank Hughes here.” “Everyone” knew Frank Hughes because in his years of working at astronaut training, beside Dr. Jemison, he had trained all of the astronauts. We asked him about this assertion before the evening’s sci-fi panel, sitting in the lobby over drinks and reviewing the day’s events and telling stories. “Yes and no.” As Frank put it, he had arrived at JSC too late to work with John Glenn on his 1962 Mercury flight, but eventually checked him off before Glenn’s second orbital mission in 1998 on the STS-95 Shuttle flight. Back in the Time for the Stars era, Frank built and fired model rockets in the Montana copper mining town where he grew up. Retired from the NASA JSC as chief of Flight Training since 1999, he now serves with the firm Tietronix as VP for educational and training products.

In “Grappling with 100YSS Psychosocial Problems,” Dr. Hughes’ basic point was that starship flight reversed most trends in recent human communications much more even than a flight to Mars or an asteroid would: “The near instant communications that humans expect to friends, family and colleagues will gradually dwindle until there is no possibility for immediate conversation anymore. If we reach just 1% light speed, the delay increases by 36 seconds every hour. In 24 hours, delay builds to 14.4 minutes... Communications with Earth will reduce to email and text communication and then to even less as delays mount. News of Earth can keep on coming each day but it will get more stale since the time it was sent will be further in the past. There will be effectively no two-way communications back to Earth that is not stilted and business-like. Over time, all the people you have left behind on Earth will have died as well as the original passengers on the space ship.”

This was a fundamental psycho-social problem of crew-ship-mission design and integration.

(Continued on page 10)
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The plan for the SKA in South Africa begins with 64 parabolic dish antennas known as the MEERcat. The SKA, however, will consist of an array of 1000 receivers. (Question for readers: How will 1000 receivers be spread over a square kilometer? Ten by ten clusters of ten?).

Similarly, in subsequent session titled “Trending Now,” Dr. Jeff Kuhn described the “Colossus Telescope” for seeing exoplanets. (See Figure 2.) Dr. Ronke Olabisi, an aerospace engineer and medical doctor on the staff of Rutgers University described her life sciences work with crucial import to interstellar flight survival, “Growing Meat, Bones and Other Organics in the Lab.” Dr. Armen Papazian described “Money Mechanics for Space” and Dr. Roy Marcus provided, “Fundamentals for Engineering Systems.”

Colossus technology addresses the need to decrease the mirror weight per square meter and to provide dynamic mirror "phasing" to allow a large telescope structure that can be much less stiff (and less massive) than conventional structures. An effective 60-80 meter aperture resolution would be obtained by 60 independent off-axis 8m telescopes effectively merged into a telescope-interferometer. The primary consists of 60x8m off-axis parabolic primaries. The secondary structure is less than 5m in diameter with 60 independent 0.5m optics. Every primary is served by its own secondary bringing light into one Gregorian focus.

Dr. Ronke Olabisi obtained degrees in aerospace engineering from MIT and University of Michigan but found tissue engineering to be too fascinating to ignore. She explains that oxygen only diffuses 250 microns, meaning that all engineered tissue must be within 250 microns of each other or they die. Hence, currently grown tissue is very thin. There are ways around this. One way is to leach all the cells of an organ. You can then put in any cells you want in the container of the original organ. In reproduce bones, she encapsulates cells in hydrogels and grows them in a petri dish. Harvesting the cell for graph to a mouse bone growth can be observed. Attempts to grow meat substitutes could prove beneficial considering that the US cattle consume grain enough for 800 million people. Josh Schonwald, a food critic, tasted the first lab-grown beef burger on August 5, 2013. It did not taste good.

Destination: Space

As in the 2012 conference Joe Ritter from the University of Hawaii Institute for Astronomy chaired the Destination Session. The institute operates the Mauna Kea Observatory and understandably promotes advances in observing techniques. Dr. Ritter described some advanced ground based observatory systems for better resolution of extra-solar planets (e.g., characterizing their atmospheres). There were returns to and variations on these themes in 2013.

This year Ritter provided two more papers. In “Remote Sensing of Exoplanets and Combined Propulsion, Imaging and Power Generation Subsystems for Starships,” Ritter reported a recent technology that could advance all five of the above:

(Continued on page 11)
In a second paper, time tagged photon intensity interferometry employed with inexpensive spacecraft constellations would enable long baseline astronomy and astrometry missions which could improve resolutions by a factor of a thousand (i.e., nano-arcsecond resolution).

In the 100YSS for 2012, several recently discovered exoplanet systems (e.g. near faint M Dwarf stars) were examined as possible starship destinations in this session. Margaret Turnbull in “Destinations to Inspire a Space-faring Generation” spoke to the issue at the back of the minds then and now: “If an attractive destination, or even a list of potentially attractive destinations, were known, the simple desire to go there could overwhelm almost any technical or mental resistance.” The list of known worlds, now very numerous and widespread, was reviewed and evaluated once more.

In an earlier Horizons, we reported on William Bottke’s LPI public lecture arguing for the widespread existence of unattached exoplanets. Marshall Eubanks, cites gravitational microlensing to similar effect in “Dark Earths - Initial Goals for Interstellar Exploration.” With inferred population estimates, the nearest “dark Earth” could be within ~0.5 light year of the Solar System. Larger bodies, likely farther away. Further infra-red survey should tell whether we have a better luck of the draw. Promoters would be well advised to name these objects something like “Greenland.”

Among the remaining presentations, Benjamin Solomon in “Empirical Evidence Suggests A Need For a Different Gravitational Theory” cites several investigators’ observations of 13 inconsistencies in physical theories supportive of subspace concepts of spacecraft transport across space without expulsion of mass. Solomon, at least joins White and Woodward in search for the means towards this end; however, we did not view the presentation or locate further background. Michael Ziolo’s presentations we will discuss together in another section.

**Becoming an Interstellar Civilization and Economics**

We noted a tendency on opposite sides of the Atlantic to look at the starship studies through different lenses. Here in the States, we focus on spacecraft specifications or prospective destinations; elsewhere they contemplate what it all might someday mean. In several presentations civilization metrics on the “Kardashev” scale are applied. What in creation is that? A gross measure of a civilization’s advancement, based on amounts of energy it is able to use. Three designated levels I, II, and III are indicated in Table 2 below, and human civilization on Earth right now, for lack of an appropriate Roman numeral, is denoted as zero. A Type I civilization uses all available resources impinging on its home planet, Type II harnesses all the energy of its star, and Type III of its galaxy. First proposed in 1964 by Soviet astronomer Nikolai Kardashev, amendments have been proposed or else incorporated. For example, stars and planets come with radii and flux levels far above and below that of Earth or sun respectively. For example, Jupiter (10x wider than Earth) with its own radiant flux might be mistaken for a level I civilization if we are not satisfied with the giant world model of thermal equilibrium. A level I Earth would be “globally warmed” indeed if most of the power generated were strung out over a wide band around the sun. There might be other metrics to use beside simply power though, and perhaps with relief we can report that encounter of others with "power" has only been hypothetical thus far.

Michael Ziolo, a psychiatrist with the University of Liverpool (UK) is a BIS community member exploring such scenarios, as indicated by “Devising the ‘Prime Radiant’: A Strategy for Seeding the K0 →K3 Transition.” Ziolo also examines dynamic models of world economy for the next 100 years (e.g., World3) and notes: “Permanent space habitation implies an evolutionary ‘long jump’ far greater than taken by our distant ancestors from sea to land,” assuming we as a species are even so intent. The paradox or wonder of economic growth in a limited resource world of has been examined since Malthus through the Club of Rome and its “Limits to Growth” publications on which the World3 program is based. Ziolo wonders if off-world strategies will win the game.

And speaking of Kardeshev levels, what about actually running into interstellar civilizations already established? In “Astrobiological Matrix” Ziolo suspects human spread into space makes indirect or direct detection or contact with advanced civilizations exponentially more likely. Conversely, less likely if we remain planet bound. Citing Stanislaw Lem’s science fiction story “Fiasco” to illustrate how contact could go catastrophically wrong, he recommends more attention to developing a matrix of alien possibilities a priori, at least accounting for life forms based on elements C, H, O, N, P and S. Starting from these fundamentals, should we work our way toward alien psychology? But of course.

We wrote and revised this article amid the federal government shutdown and private investment deliberations over much more modest space ventures. With that introduction we turn to another facet of interstellar metamorphosis from caterpillar stage: interstellar civilization economics. Several speakers addressed this sundry matter, perhaps giving their takes on what Heinlein’s Long Range Foundation should be like. At the federal level, energy expenditures per annum (i.e. power), figure into the overall budget deliberations in this fraction of K0 world civilization.

Perhaps a Kardeshev scale can be developed to describe interstellar civilization based on money? Dr. Armen Papazian began his talk contemplating the text on every US dollar: “This note is legal tender for all debts – public and private.” Beside the problem of financing an expedition into a vast void like the Chinese did for decades in the 15th century, how do you make a monetary transaction in the vast depths of it. In Roman times, the Mediterranean basin, India and China all conducted trade; money was exchanged, but not...
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directly. Neither China nor Rome were able to reach each other with boatloads of coin. Our current day approach hardly even involves paper any more, but one wonders how you can beam and back a promissory note across light years of space.

In “Economies in a Stellar Civilization – Carrying a Bit of Earth to the Stars” economist Zubin Ray from India notes that value, property and trade, defined on Earth since pre-history really provide no precedent for a space based system with problems including very long time spans for exploitation focused gains and cords tied to Earth. Promotion of private entrepreneurship and investment into space exploration further complicates this equation, requiring “time bound generation value” and necessary socio-legal structures to encourage capital flow. Ray observes:

◊ Big corporations gain monopolies and exert strong control on market type, but with strong control, even if a venture is risky, it will still have access to funding.
◊ People in the West do not invest in the long-term; there’s no multi-generation thinking. Returns must be within their lives. In India they invest across generations.
◊ Government tax credit can be an incen-
tive. Fanny Mae allowed everyone to buy a house. Likewise, the government can support research for fifty years by offering reduced rate of return to investor. Like a bond or coupon, it can be recovered in 5, 10, or 20 years.
◊ Technology bubbles form from public enthusiasm, but bubble generation is hard to predict. A company that rides a bubble though can earn fabulous wealth. A successful company starts the bubble or ball rolling, but implosion, disillusionment, and crisis need to be watched for by all. “We don’t want people to look at astronauts the same way they look at bankers today,” Ray observed.

So where does all this leave us? What of the future for Earth, star travel and even 100YSS? In lieu of an Olympics style closing ceremony, we attended the Saturday evening dinner which did not feature a keynote address, but the performance of violinist Kenji Williams, accompanying artists and the video presentation Bella Gaia. Violinist, keyboard and vocalists accompanied a mix of intricate images from space, the wilderness and oceans of Earth and graphic images of human imprint on the planet. First came the Pacific NW coast from the track of the ISS; there followed hydrographic and varied spectral images from around the world. In heliocentric space we could see the Encased in the undulating jellyfish of its magnetosphere trailing away from the sun. At continental scales, we observed the dynamic but dissimilar circulatory cells of the Mediterranean, the Oceans and the Gulf, the wax and wane of snow cover over the Arctic and the Ozone Hole in the south. Measures of population, water and emissions by nation in bar charts rotated into view. “It was a lament,” someone at the dais later summarized. Was hope more than 100 million times farther away than the Moon?

We shared a table with attendees to whom we were only briefly before introduced. One of us had asked a propulsion presenter about the use of an exotic fuel: an isotope of Americium for the nuclear fission rocket. Was it nearly as difficult to obtain as say anti-matter? A third party pointed out that Americium was commonly used in smoke detectors, so…

The exchange attracted the attention of the attendees from Washington State. As we awaited the performance, Kelvin Lynn demurred that he was not sure whether or not he should have presented a paper, but maybe next year. We asked, “Well, what is it that you do?” His card said he was the director of the Materials Research Institute. “I build and invent positron storage tandem later summarized. Was hope more than 100 million times farther away than the Moon?

We shared a table with attendees to whom we were only briefly before introduced. One of us had asked a propulsion presenter about the use of an exotic fuel: an isotope of Americium for the nuclear fission rocket. Was it nearly as difficult to obtain as say anti-matter? A third party pointed out that Americium was commonly used in smoke detectors, so…

The exchange attracted the attention of the attendees from Washington State. As we awaited the performance, Kelvin Lynn demurred that he was not sure whether or not he should have presented a paper, but maybe next year. We asked, “Well, what is it that you do?” His card said he was the director of the Materials Research Institute. “I build and invent positron storage devices…”

References

| Table 2. Kardashev Measurements for Stellar and Interstellar Civilizations – A Cosmic Perspective |
|-------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Kardashev Level/Type | Power (watts) | Equivalence |
| “0” | 4x10^12 | Current world power production |
| I | 10^16 to 10^17 | Solar insolation of Earth’s surface |
| II | 4x10^18 | Total solar radiation output (stars vary orders of magnitude) |
| III | 4x10^17 | Total galactic radiation output |

Links
2. [http://www.centauri-dreams.org](http://www.centauri-dreams.org)
   Houston 100YSS coverage: [http://www.centauri-dreams.org/?p=29122](http://www.centauri-dreams.org/?p=29122)
3. Performance of Bella Gaia with Kenji Williams and accompanying artists
   The Baum Foundation, [http://thebaumfoundation.org/environment/bella-gaia](http://thebaumfoundation.org/environment/bella-gaia)
Staying Informed


Above: Mission Summary. Image credit: NASA.
We reviewed pictures from three monthly Wings & Wheels events on the museum website to find a few great images for this issue of Horizons. The August 2013 Wings & Wheels theme was the Lone Star Lodestar Roundup. Two of the three photographs included here are aerial shots taken by museum volunteer Larry Orr. Museum volunteer Max Tribolet was the pilot.

Wings & Wheels is a monthly lunch-hour-centered celebration at the museum. It takes place on the third Sunday of most months. Classic car owners often accept the invitation to display the vehicles in front of the museum, and aircraft make a spectacular display behind the museum. The museum building itself is a unique sight inside and out. The displays and paintings inside the museum on the ground floor are worth the visit. And the lunch option is usually the popular Flaming Patties gourmet food truck.

This year’s raffle airplane winner Allen Langford of Bynum, Texas, came to this event in August of 2013. The drawing was held during the July 2013 Wings & Wheels event. Museum volunteer Blair McFarlain join the winner to fly the airplane Waco, Texas, where hangar space had been secured. On the way to Waco they stopped at the famous Southern Flyer diner in Brenham, Texas.

Wings & Wheels visitors are no longer allowed on the roof of the first or second floor, nor in the control tower. Those visits will be allowed again one day once renovation restores the building to the state required by fire codes.

The old control tower is no longer in use for now, but the newer control tower is even further away from the runways. That’s old school! They respect their elders at Hobby Airport!

(Continued on page 15)
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Opinion

NATIONAL SCIENTIFIC SOCIETY REACTS TO IPCC REPORT RELEASE

REPORT REINFORCES NEED FOR ACTION TO ADDRESS CLIMATE CHANGE, SAYS AMERICAN GEOPHYSICAL UNION

27 September 2013
WASHINGTON, DC—The following statement is attributable to American Geophysical Union (AGU) executive director/CEO Christine McEntee:

“Today’s release of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change’s (IPCC) Assessment 5, Working Group 1 report reinforces what the scientific community has known for some time now: Our climate is changing and human activity is a major influencer. The science is clear and the scientific community is in agreement.

Climate change is already threatening our economic health, public safety, and national security. From issues of food security to energy availability, communities, cities, states, and regions across the U.S. and around the world are feeling the effects.

It is not too late to address the impacts of climate change, but the window for meaningful action continues to close. Lessening the negative outcomes will require rapid societal responses that are informed by science and that reflect the perspectives and commitment of all stakeholders, including international, national, regional, and local governments, the business community, the energy industry, scientific researchers, and many others.”

The climate change position statement of the AGU:

Human-induced climate change requires urgent action

Humanity is the major influence on the global climate change observed over the past 50 years. Rapid societal responses can significantly lessen negative outcomes.

“Human activities are changing Earth’s climate. At the global level, atmospheric concentrations of carbon dioxide and other heat-trapping greenhouse gases have increased sharply since the Industrial Revolution. Fossil fuel burning dominates this increase. Human-caused increases in greenhouse gases are responsible for most of the observed global average surface warming of roughly 0.8°C (1.5°F) over the past 140 years. Because natural processes cannot quickly remove some of these gases (notably carbon dioxide) from the atmosphere, our past, present, and future emissions will influence the climate system for millennia.

Extensive, independent observations confirm the reality of global warming. These observations show large-scale increases in air and sea temperatures, sea level, and atmospheric water vapor; they document decreases in the extent of mountain glaciers, snow cover, permafrost, and Arctic sea ice. These changes are broadly consistent with long-understood physics and predictions of how the climate system is expected to respond to human-caused increases in greenhouse gases. The changes are inconsistent with explanations of climate change that rely on known natural influences.

Climate models predict that global temperatures will continue to rise, with the amount of warming primarily determined by the level of emissions. Higher emissions of greenhouse gases will lead to larger warming, and greater risks to society and ecosystems. Some additional warming is unavoidable due to past emissions.

Climate change is not expected to be uniform over space or time. Deforestation, urbanization, and particulate pollution can have complex geographical, seasonal, and longer-term effects on temperature, precipitation, and cloud properties. In addition, human-induced climate change may alter atmospheric circulation, dislocating historical patterns of natural variability and storminess.

In the current climate, weather experienced at a given location or region varies from year to year; in a changing climate, both the nature of that variability and the basic patterns of weather experienced can change, sometimes in counterintuitive ways -- some areas may experience cooling, for instance. This raises no challenge to the reality of human-induced climate change.

Impacts harmful to society, including increased extremes of heat, precipitation, and coastal high water are currently being experienced, and are projected to increase.

Other projected outcomes involve threats to public health, water availability, agricultural productivity (particularly in low-latitude developing countries), and coastal infrastructure, though some benefits may be seen at some times and places. Biodiversity loss is expected to accelerate due to both climate change and acidification of the oceans, which is a direct result of increasing carbon dioxide levels.

While important scientific uncertainties remain as to which particular impacts will be experienced where, no uncertainties are known that could make the impacts of climate change inconsequential. Furthermore, surprise outcomes, such as the unexpectedly rapid loss of Arctic summer sea ice, may entail even more dramatic changes than anticipated.

Actions that could diminish the threats posed by climate change to society and ecosystems include substantial emissions cuts to reduce the magnitude of climate change, as well as preparing for changes that are now unavoidable. The community of scientists has responsibilities to improve overall understanding of climate change and its impacts. Improvements will come from pursuing the research needed to understand climate change, working with stakeholders to identify relevant information, and conveying understanding clearly and accurately, both to decision makers and to the general public.”

Adopted by the American Geophysical Union December 2003; Revised and Reaffirmed December 2007, February 2012, August 2013.
This time we will cover the seat attachment site, and the construction of the armrests and footrest.

A place for everything, and everything in its place

Since I elected to use a boat seat as the basis for my astronomer’s stool, I feel it’s important to discuss the design of the attachment site in detail. This is the 2nd of the two very important spots on the stool that needs to be particularly well made, and failure to do so could have injurious repercussions. We didn’t take the seat apart to confirm, but we suspect that the internal screw threads where the retaining screws attach are made of plastic, and likely susceptible to either deforming under pressure, or completely ripping out if enough torque stress were to be applied. Needless to say, we intended this part of the construction to be a “one and done shot” with no re-dos. We were fortunate that this turned out to be the case in our build out. I don’t know if boat seats are uniform in their location of screws on the underside of the chair, but I will say that the screws that came with the chair were not satisfactory for our purpose of creating an astronomer’s stool, as they were just too short. The original screws were intended to penetrate and hold onto the thin metal “Lazy Susan” either on a johnboat type seat, or at the top of a fixed pedestal. In our case, the screws need to be long enough to hold the chair onto a nice thick piece of plywood (I used ¾” plywood). For the reader’s information, the screws needed are going to vary dependent on the manufacturer’s design of the boat seat (actually the internal screw housing [depth] inside the side), and your choice of plywood thickness, so plan accordingly. Here is an image of the plastic underside of the boat seat to give you an idea of a starting place for my build out:

If you will compare the previous picture with the following one, you will discern that we measured and cut the plywood square to exactly fit (mine was 9” x 9.5”) onto a set of plastic ‘ribs’ on the underside of the seat. This was planned, and we thought that it would provide the maximum amount of stability (wood against the hard plastic) while minimizing the weight of the plywood. One of the post construction comments addresses this point in greater detail, later. The sizing of this particular piece of plywood is really dependent on the underside of the seat that you decide on. Obviously, we measured and drilled the holes to match the placement of the seat’s retaining screws. Here I changed from the original machine to hex head screws, and they were countersunk. In the picture below, you can also see the original pencil marks that were used to determine the exact center of the four screws, which in turn, is the center of support for the seat. The 3/4” pipe floor flange was attached using T-nuts preinstalled on the back side of the plywood before mounting it to the seat bottom. Use of T-nuts prevents having to cut out spaces in the plastic bottom to accept standard nuts and washers.

The following picture shows the integrated unit, with the pipe going into the seat attachment site on the piece of plywood.
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The second major use of this plywood square on the underside of the seat is as a place to attach desired armrests, since the boat seat did not come with them originally. In order to fabricate a reasonable set of armrests, we first needed to determine the optimum width for the supports (where my elbows would naturally rest in the lateral plane) and what height would be comfortable. We made this measurement by me simulating armrests on a scrap piece of plywood (it was wider than any conceivable width I might choose) and changing its height by stacking 2” x 4”s underneath it (all resting in my lap), then measuring both the height and the width from the attached ¾” plywood, via a side view. This or a similar measuring effort will reflect a personal preference for the eventual armrest positions that the reader needs to figure out for himself. Post construction, the right angle measurements of resultant width and height of the armrest supports for my chair are 19” and XX respectively.

I originally bought a pair of 1” x 1/8” metal flats which were bent by hammering in a vise to the desired shape for the armrest supports (see below). After loosely attaching the top wooden piece to the metal support and resting my arms’ weight on them, we found that the supports were very susceptible to outward flexing with a minimal amount of weight or pressure. This was a critical design flaw and needed to be addressed immediately.

We decided on #8 3/4” flathead wood screws to attach the armrest supports to plywood on the underside of the chair. Pilot holes insured that the screws seated correctly and ended up flush with the surface of the metal without additional wood damage (see picture below). At this time, the top pieces of wood (which were already sanded, and notched to sit on top of the lateral supports) were permanently attached to the metal supports by four #8 1/2” screws, (predrilled to prevent splitting of the thin small pieces of wood), and again countersinking them for safety.

We countered this problem in two ways. The first was to get a pair of much heavier and harder to bend 3/16” x 1” x 4’ steel flats for the arm supports, and the second was to plan on placing an even heavier 1/8” x 1.5” x 6’ steel flat around the top of the two side supports and around the back rest of the chair. The addition of the 3 larger metal supports really solidified the rigidity of the armrests. As a result, the armrests now easily support my arms’ weight without outward flex, and even better, they will handle the increased load of the sketch desk as well (its construction will be covered later in the description). However, this is not to say that the armrests alone will support my weight (they certainly won’t). If I have to adjust my positioning in the chair I have to reach down outside the armrests to the seat itself and lift my weight through my arms pressing against the seat. To start attaching the armrest supports, the chair was inverted, the supports placed in their correct positions, and measurements were taken to evenly distribute the drilled locations for the screws (see following picture). We then countersunk the screw heads into the steel flats to ensure that there were no sharp edges to cut an unwary finger in the dark.

After the lateral armrests were complete, we started measuring the needed length of the top restraining steel flat. Determining where the two bends were to occur was challenging as the seat back needed to be accounted for in its fully upright position, and we did not make the final bend or cut on the steel flat until we were 100% sure they were both exactly correct. Four 1/4-20 x 1/2” screws and nuts were used to connect the overlapping pieces of metal, and any portion protruding past the nut was cut off and ground smooth. A side view and 3/4 view of this step are shown below.

(Continued on page 19)
The third and final use of the plywood attachment site under the chair was to support a hanging footrest. A footrest was not an original consideration in the design of the astronomer’s stool, but rather something I found out that needed to be addressed when I sat in the chair when it was at full extension. When I was high up in the air, I realized that the weight of my legs was going to eventually crush down the front edge of the foam inside the seat, and cause an uncomfortable pressure point for the back of my upper legs. As a result, we had to brainstorm to think up a suitable method to account for this problem. We considered a wide metal loop, sort of like a stirrup, but noted that it would be a problem for disassembling the stool and putting it in the trunk of my car for transportation to a dark site. We also thought of a simple piece of rope, but found that it was not very comfortable for my legs or feet for long periods of time. So we settled on a combination of a stiff footrest and a flexible hanging system, all of which was attached to the underside of the chair. The following picture will help with the description of the attachment build out as well as the two ends of the rope which holds the footrest.

But let’s not get ahead of ourselves, before you make the end connections on the rope you need to have the footrest in between, and that design process is outlined here. To make footrest, we took a scrap piece of 2” x 4” and visualized what would be the maximum width I would need for a comfortable placement of my feet. My measurement was found to be 18”, and we took a 1/2” X 18” drill bit, and drilled through the center of the board lengthwise from both ends. This wasn’t an easy task, as a long drill bit is prone to torque and curving along its length, and we eventually had to repeat the whole process a second time as the bit broke through the side of the board on the first attempt. Afterwards, we threaded a 4’ section of nylon rope through the hole to get to the stage of construction shown in the picture below.

As you can see, we drilled two holes through the front steel flat and the underlying plywood for the 2, #2 screw eyes that provide the points of attachment for the 2, “S” hooks at the ends of the piece of rope. The total length of rope necessary was determined by attaching one fixed (completed with a tied-on spring hook) end to the eye screw, the footrest was then placed under my feet, and the other end of the rope fed through the other eye screw – with the rope being pulled and adjusted to different lengths (equaling heights of the footrest) until a comfortable position was found. The rope was then cut and the second “S” was tied onto the free end. To prevent the footrest from sliding along the length of the rope, I placed the footrest at the optimal position, then opened the weave of the nylon and inserted a bolt through it, and then attached a washer on the backside. The same process was repeated for the rope at the other end of the footrest, and as a result, movement is minimal.

Next Time: Construction of the supporting “legs” and “feet.”
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Below: Education and public outreach from the Lunar and Planetary Institute includes “Cosmic Explorations: A Speaker Series.”

http://www.lpi.usra.edu/education/lectures

JSC Astronomical Society Calendar
Upcoming Items from the JSCAS Calendar (Copied on October 12, 2013)

Our JSCAS meetings are held on the second Friday of every month at 7:30 P.M. in the auditorium of the USRA building (almost always at this location): 3600 Bay Area Blvd, at the SW corner of the intersection with Middlebrook Drive.

2013
November 9, 2013: Family Space Days Star Party at LPI
December 13, 2013: Winter Solstice Party

2014
January 10, 2014: Bob Taylor, 2013 Astronomy Year in Review
February 14, 2014: Don Halter, TBA (To Be Announced)
March 14, 2014: TBA (To Be Announced)
April 11, 2014: Paul Maley, JSC Trip to Fort McKavett, April 24-27, Tentative
May 9, 2014: Texas Star Party, May 25-June 1
Space: Drawings, Fears, and the Dreams of Children
PHILIPPE MAIRET, 3AF MP

A while ago, in December of 2012, I suggested to my son Loup, when he was nine years old, that he create a drawing about space. I told him, “Loup, draw what you want,” and I said, “Add a question or a sentence, and add your signature.”

Children have a way of conceiving space which can vary from one to another. For some children, “Space is for escaping,” such as in the Disney Pixar movie WALL-E. The characters flee in rockets to another planet to escape an ecological disaster on Earth. This was mentioned by a colleague recently in la Gazette #28, the newsletter of l’Association Aeronautique et Astronautique de France, Midi-Pyrenees (3AF MP) Chapter. Others, like Loup, ask themselves the question, “How will people be able to survive in space?”

Still others dream in their infancy of planets and the Moon. They sometimes draw them. Less common are those who draw comets and even asteroids. Children who want to become astronauts, cosmonauts, spationauts, or taikonauts are an older age.

[Editor’s note: When he wrote this article, Philippe Mairet was not thinking about our Horizons reprints of the 1950s Collier’s magazine series, Man Will Conquer Space Soon! I arranged the translation above so that the last words are, “Conquest of Space.” This issue of Horizons presents the last of eight installments of this Collier’s series. Mairet’s writing about the conquest of space above is a nice coincidence.]

Although some children neither dream of space nor have nightmares about space, let us allow children the freedom to imagine the future of the Conquest of Space.
Comet C/2012 S1 was discovered in September 2012 by Russian astronomers Vitali Nevski and Artyom Novichonok using data from the International Scientific Optical Network (ISON), and is commonly known as Comet ISON. This sun-grazing comet is predicted to reach perihelion on November 28, 2013 (Thanksgiving Day), when it will pass at a distance of only 730,000 miles above the star’s surface (~2.7 solar radii from the center of the Sun). Based on its trajectory it is believed that this comet came from the Oort Cloud and has never passed by the Sun before. Therefore it could have a large quantity of fresh material to out gas. If true, this comet could produce a very bright tail that could possibly be visible during the day on Earth. As of late October, scientists believe that about 2.2 million pounds of gas are escaping the comet every day. Comet ISON was photographed on October 8, 2013 by Adam Block of the University of Arizona. His image is shown in Figure 1.

In early 2013, a light curve was generated to illustrate the comet’s brightness with time. By extrapolating these data, a very bright comet was predicted. However, it has since exhibited a “slowdown event” in its rise in apparent brightness and is now only expected to reach an apparent magnitude of ~3 to ~5, or about the same brightness as Venus. This type of behavior has been exhibited by many other Oort cloud comets.

Comet ISON is expected to appear the brightest around perihelion; however, it will be less than 1° of arc from the Sun at its closest, making it difficult to observe against the Sun’s glare. The comet’s surface temperature at perihelion is expected to approach 5,000° F. Additionally, it will be within the Sun’s Roche radius (where the Sun’s tidal forces first overpower the comet’s self-attraction forces), meaning it might disintegrate. In December, it will be growing dimmer, but assuming that it remains intact, it will be visible from both hemispheres of Earth. After perihelion, its outbound trajectory will take it north on the celestial sphere, passing within two degrees of Polaris on 8 January, 2014. Earth will pass near the comet’s path around January 14-15, 2014. Any residual comet dust could create a meteor shower.

In late September, Comet ISON passed by Mars and the High Resolution Imaging Science Experiment camera on NASA’s Mars Reconnaissance Orbiter captured a number of photographs of the object, shown in Figure 2.

Additionally, a video showing observations from NASA’s Deep Impact Mission is available at Space.com. NASA’s pair of Solar TErrestrial RElations Observatory (STEREO) spacecraft will have a good view as the comet passes close to the sun. Illustrations showing the predicted path of the comet through the STEREO spacecrafts’ fields of view are available at a NASA website.

Will Comet ISON be the “comet of the century,” fizzle out, or disintegrate? No one is certain. But in the next few months, we will have a front row seat for the celestial show.

Figure 1. Comet ISON photographed on October 8, 2013. Image credit: Adam Block, University of Arizona.

Figure 2. Comet ISON photographed by the Mars Reconnaissance Orbiter on September 29, 2013. Image credit: NASA.
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JAMES C. McLANE III

June 13, 2013

His years in the society were marked by many curious developments. But I am most proud of his efforts to establish a liaison, back in the early 1990’s between the AIAA Houston Section and the Shanghai Astronautical Society, possibly China’s closest technical match to the AIAA. I’ll try to explain how this relationship came about.

The current restrictions on technical interfaces with foreigners are especially clear to those of us who got to experience the relatively open exchange of information and technology during the early days of NASA. Current limitations have made fraternal contacts with foreign entities more difficult than ever. The AIAA and many other technical associations have had to learn to function under strict rules regarding technology transfer to foreign countries. This has hindered efforts to foster international cooperation in human space flight. An exception has been NASA’s special technical exchange relationship with the Russians which has enabled us to build the successful International Space Station. The Station is a great example of how technology exchanges can benefit the international community and serve the interest of the US at the same time. This US/Russian arrangement was pioneered by the Apollo Soyuz test project of the mid 1970’s, a program that developed a trust between two countries who were at the time engaged in ruthless and inefficient competition. My father was very involved in Apollo Soyuz – but more about that later.

A brush with cancer convinced my Dad to retire early at the age of 60. He and my mother took up world travel. They went on a number of commercial tours to places like Europe and the Middle East. My father happened to be a member of the American Vacuum Society. This organization supported educational foreign trips under the “People to People” initiative, a program begun in the 1950’s by President Eisenhower. These exchanges involved visiting host countries and meeting with people there who were in your own professions. In that capacity my parents were able to travel extensively in Russia, Japan and China where they met engineers and toured industrial and scientific facilities.

My folks really liked China, which at that time was definitely a “third world” country, but a place with great aspirations and enthusiasm, especially in regards to Space. Some Chinese engineers my father met invited him to return to lecture on space environment simulation. China at that time was so backward that my father’s travel expenses were paid by a United Nations grant to developing nations. His lectures were patterned after a series that he had already presented at the University of Tennessee Space Institute. It took quite a while for the US State Department to approve the venture, but the trip was made and was very successful. By that time my father had become well acquainted with senior engineers in the Chinese space program and he thought it was a good time to explore a formal relationship between the AIAA and a parallel Chinese technical entity.

A sister section arrangement between the local AIAA section and the Shanghai Astronautical Society was the eventual result of that idea. The agreement resulted in exchanges of society newsletters and hosting of visitors by the society members in the respective countries. All together my parents made five trips to China, trips which included visits to rocket and satellite fabrication facilities and launch sites. I recall my dad said that one plant they visited made big boosters in one area and washing machines in another! There are probably some folks in this audience who traveled to China with my parents on trips hosted by the Chinese engineers.

The Chinese Sister Section relationship, as indeed many associations with foreign entities, became much more challenging after 911 and the increasing restrictions on information exchanges with foreigners imposed by ITAR rules that restrict sharing of technical, information.
Calendar

All calendar items are subject to change without notice.
Section council meetings (email secretary2013[at]aiaahouston.org)
Time: 5:30 - 6:30 PM usually
Day: First Tuesday of most months except for holidays.
Location: NASA/JSC Gilruth Center is often used. The room varies.

Upcoming Section events
Audiobook in work by Ted Kenny, NASA/JSC, Chair, AIAA Houston Section History technical committee, Suddenly Tomorrow Came, A History of JSC. The author of this 1993 book is Henry C. Dethloff. See that web page for author information and a short bio.

2013 Conferences www.aiaa.org (Events link)
3 - 7 November 2013, Ribeirao Preto, 22nd International Congress of Mechanical Engineering – COBEM 2013
5 - 7 November 2013, Frankfurt, 8th International Conference Supply on the Wings

2014 Conferences www.aiaa.org (Events link)
13 - 17 January 2014, National Harbor, Maryland, 16th AIAA Non-Deterministic Approaches Conference
13 - 17 January 2014, National Harbor, Maryland, 22nd AIAA/ASME/AHS Adaptive Structures Conference
13 - 17 January 2014, National Harbor, Maryland, 32nd ASME Wind Energy Symposium
13 - 17 January 2014, National Harbor, Maryland, 52nd AIAA Aerospace Sciences Meeting
13 - 17 January 2014, National Harbor, Maryland, 7th Symposium on Space Resource Utilization
13 - 17 January 2014, National Harbor, Maryland, AIAA Atmospheric Flight Mechanics Conference
13 - 17 January 2014, National Harbor, Maryland, AIAA Modeling and Simulation Technologies Conference
13 - 17 January 2014, National Harbor, Maryland, AIAA Science and Technology Forum and Exposition (SciTech2014)
13 - 17 January 2014, National Harbor, Maryland, AIAA Spacecraft Structures Conference (formerly the AIAA Gossamer Systems Forum)
26 - 30 January 2014, Santa Fe, New Mexico, 24th AAS/AIAA Space Flight Mechanics Meeting
2 - 6 February 2014, Atlanta, Georgia, American Meteorological Society Annual Meeting
1 - 8 March 2014, Big Sky, Montana, 2014 IEEE Aerospace Conference
24 - 26 March 2014, Lille, France, 49th International Symposium of Applied Aerodynamics
30 April 2014, Washington, DC, 2014 Aerospace Spotlight Awards Gala
5 - 9 May 2014, Pasadena, California, SpaceOps 2014
26 - 28 May 2014, St. Petersburg, Russia, the 21st St. Petersburg International Conference on Integrated Navigation Systems
5 June 2014, Williamsburg, Virginia, 2014 Aerospace Today and Tomorrow
16 - 20 June 2014, Atlanta, Georgia, 11th AIAA/ASME Joint Thermophysics and Heat Transfer Conference

Horizons: published bimonthly by the end of February, April, June, August, October & December at www.aiaahouston.org.
Automated Transfer Vehicle Mission Control Center (ATV-CC)
E UROPEAN S PAC E A GENCY WEBSITE

ATV CONTROL CENTRE
The main function of the Automated Transfer Vehicle Control Centre (ATV-CC) is to operate Automated Transfer Vehicles (ATV).

In the elegant, modern 'Fermat Building' of the Toulouse space centre, the French space agency CNES, under a contract signed with ESA in 2003, developed and operates, a complex space control centre specialised in handling ATV missions in orbit.

Under the authority of ESA, ATV-CC is responsible for the preparation and validation of the monitoring and control tools used by the 30 mission control staff during the flight. The Control Centre is also responsible for carrying out the programmed mission plans and, if needed, to implement any changes.

ATV-CC Flight Control Room
Additionally the Centre is in charge of the orbitography, the localisation of ATV and monitoring its approach to the International Space Station. This is a challenging task, requiring a very high degree of technical skill.

Among the different flight control and piloting capabilities, ATV-CC also directs the undocking of ATV from the International Space Station. The Control Centre can command an operation to keep ATV in the vicinity of the International Space Station for up to eight weeks and, if needed, even perform a re-docking.

An ATV mission requires complex interactions and shared responsibilities between space organisations dispersed throughout the world.

Team at work in the ATV Control Centre
ATV-CC works with the Guiana Space Centre, in charge of launch and deployment of ATVs. For rendezvous, docking and departure, ATV-CC works in close coordination with the Mission Control Centres in Moscow and Houston.

All ATV ground control commands are issued from Toulouse. For example, in case of a major malfunction during the rendezvous, ATV-CC, as well as the Space Station crew, can initiate the Collision Avoidance Maneuvre to move the 20-tonne spaceship away from the Station before attempting another rendezvous the next day.

To allow continuous coordination with the other control centres and to remain in constant contact with ATV during a mission ATV-CC relies on the Interconnection Ground Subnetwork, which is based at the German Space Operations Centre, at Oberpfaffenhofen in Germany. ATV-CC is directly linked to the Columbus Control Centre, which also plays the role of the central node of the communications network with ESA’s partners.

Last update: 4 June 2013

Above: ATV Control Centre is housed in the CNES Fermat Building in Toulouse
Fermat Building at the Toulouse Space Centre houses the Automated Transfer Vehicle Control Centre (ATV-CC). The French space agency, CNES, was responsible for the development of the Control Centre, and prepares, coordinates and supports all ATV operations on behalf of ESA. Copyright: CNES.

Above: ATV-CC during Ariane launch attempt 15 February 2011

Above: ESA mission controllers on console
From AIAA Daily Launch, October 28, 2013

**ATV-4 Spacecraft To Undock From ISS Today.**
Russia’s RIA Novosti (RUS) (10/28) reports the ESA’s ATV-4 cargo spacecraft is expected to undock from the ISS today. It will then be five days before it is sent into the atmosphere to burn up. According to the article, the spacecraft will be positioned so the ISS astronauts can observe what takes place in order to gather information that “might be useful for calibrating future reentries.” Jean-Michel Bois, head of the ATV operations team in Europe, said this was a “fitting end” for the vehicle.

*Image credits: ESA.*
Boeing Completes Mission Control Center Interface Test

Sept 13, 2013, Rebecca Regan, John F. Kennedy Space Center

For the first time, the Mission Control Center (MCC) at NASA's Johnson Space Center in Houston has tested communications with a commercial, crew-capable spacecraft, as The Boeing Company conducted an interface test between the MCC and software planned for the company's CST-100 spacecraft.

Boeing has partnered with NASA to develop a fully integrated crew transportation system, with its CST-100 spacecraft and United Launch Alliance Atlas V rocket, in partnership with NASA's Commercial Crew Program (CCP). New commercial spaceflight capabilities being developed by NASA partners through commercial crew initiatives could eventually provide services to transport astronauts to and from the International Space Station, launching from U.S. soil.

Image credits: Boeing (artist concepts).
Rice University AIAA Student Section Advisor:
Professor Andrew Meade, meade[at]rice.edu
713-348-5880, www.ruf.rice.edu/~meade/

Above: Image credit: Rice University.

Rice Space Institute Student Association
Students for the Exploration and Development of Space

Above: The Rice Space Institute Student Association (RSISA) is not associated with AIAA, but we have similar interests. The RSISA and the image above were noticed by Horizons team members on Monday, October 28, 2013. The date of the XCOR visit is not specified.

Above: Image credit: Rice University.

XCOR Visits Rice
Posted on October 8, 2013 by Itd10

Student Section News
Please send inputs to Dr. Gary Turner, our College and Co-Op Chair. His e-mail address is: collegecoop2012[at]aiaahouston.org
His backup for this task is Editor Douglas Yazell: editor2012[at]aiaahouston.org. Our Section’s web page lists the related websites.
We publish most bimonthly issues at www.aiaahouston.org by the last day of each even-numbered month, and the submissions deadline is three weeks earlier. The November / December issue is an exception. It is published by December 10, not December 31.
The Texas A&M University AIAA student section started work on its web site for the new year as of August 10, 2012: http://stuorg-sites.tamu.edu/~aiaa/
Faculty advisor: Professor John E. Hurtado, jehurtado[at]tamu.edu, 979-845-1659.

Facebook American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics: Texas A&M Chapter
Twitter @AIAA_TAMU
LinkedIn AIAA - Texas A&M University Chapter

Chair Rahul Venkatraman acepilotriv [at] tamu.edu
Vice Chair Alejandro Azocar alejandroazocar [at] tamu.edu
Treasurer Steve Anderson andeste [at] tamu.edu
Secretary Sam Hansen hansen_s08 [at] tamu.edu
Speaker Chair Jacob Shaw jshaw94 [at] tamu.edu
Activity Chair Kristin Ehrhardt kristin159 [at] tamu.edu
Publicity Chair Nick Page npage340 [at] tamu.edu
SEC Representative Nhan Phan Trongnhanphan [at] tamu.edu
Webmaster Nick Page npage340 [at] tamu.edu
Graduate Class Rep. Chris Greer gree5362 [at] tamu.edu
Senior Class Rep. Nicholas Ortiz ibesmokin [at] tamu.edu
Junior Class Rep. TBA
Sophomore Class Rep. TBA
Freshman Class Rep. Farid Saemi farid.saemi [at] gmail.com

Experts on Climate Change
Texas A&M University TAMU Times article
September 26, 2013, adapted from the press release

The College of Geosciences at Texas A&M University has experts who can answer media inquiries about the science of climate change, especially as it relates to the upcoming United Nations Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) report.

The IPCC, specifically its Working Group I that evaluates the physical science of climate change, will present its Fifth Assessment Report Summary for Policymakers September 27, 2013, in Stockholm, Sweden.

While the report is unlikely to contain much new information regarding the well understood basics of planetary warming such as greenhouse gases and greenhouse heating, ocean acidification, or sea level rise, it is likely to convey a message of increased scientific certainty of how humans have affected global climate, and the steadily increasing risks to societies under current global business-as-usual CO2 emissions scenarios.

A persistent gap remains between the scientific consensus on global warming and the public’s perception of these science results. The science results say Earth is warming, this warming is dominated by human greenhouse gas emissions, and continued unmitigated emissions will have significant adverse societal impacts. Thus, accurate reporting to the public is an important service. Geosciences faculty can work with media in assessing the IPCC report and the science, policy and public perception of climate change.

Gerald North Global climate change and modeling, statistics, IPCC process g-north[at]tamu.edu, 979.845.8077
Andrew Dessler Climate change drivers and mechanisms, policy adessler[at]tamu.edu, 979.862.1427
John Nielsen-Gammon Global & regional climate change, Texas climate, extreme climatic events n-g[at]tamu.edu, 979.862.2248
Gunnar Schade Greenhouse gases & global carbon cycle, climate-chemistry interactions gws[at]tamu.edu, 979.845.0633
Don Collins Atmospheric particles and climate, geoengineering dcollins[at]tamu.edu, 979.845.6324
Andrew Klein Climate change and tropical glaciers klein[at]geo.tamu.edu, 979.845.5219
Achim Stoessel Polar oceans in climate modelsastoessel[at]ocean.tamu.edu, 979.862.4170
R. Saravanan Variability and predictability of global climate sarava[at]tamu.edu, 979.845.0175
Debbie Thomas Past climates dthomas[at]ocean.tamu.edu, 979.862.7742
Ethan Grossman Past climates grossman[at]geo.tamu.edu, 979.845.0637
Steven Quiring Climate and Water squiring[at]tamu.edu, 979.458.1712

Student Section News
The student section is not associated with climate change studies, but climate change is a subject of interest for AIAA and NASA.
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